230

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

VS

CRM-M-12004-2023 (O&M) Date of decision: 13.10.2023

Jagjivan Singh and others

...Petitioners

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- Mr. M.S.Bhatti, Advocate,

For the petitioners.

Mr. Hakam Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjay Khan, Advocate,

For respondent No.2.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.106 dated 25.09.2016 (Annexure P-1)

registered under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506, 201 and 34 of IPC at Police Station, Banur,

District Patiala on the basis of compromise dated 28.02.2023 (Annexure P-2), stated to

have been arrived between the parties.

2. Since quashing was sought on the basis of compromise, this Court on

10.03.2023 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for

recording their statements in support of the compromise. A veracity report was also called

for.

3. Report dated 08.05.2023 of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, SAS

Nagar, Mohali had been received. Report reveals that statement of complainant party i.e.

respondent No.2 as also of accused/present petitioners herein, were duly recorded. It is

opined that a compromise has been arrived at without any pressure, undue influence or

coercion. The report is accompanied by the statements of parties. It is apparent that the

complainant/respondent No.2 and accused/petitioners have arrived at a compromise

voluntarily and without any coercion.

4. Learned counsel for complainant/respondent No.2 states that he would have

no objection to the quashing of FIR in question.

Page 1 of 2

CRM-M-12004-2023 (O&M) 2023:PHHC:133947

5. This Court in appropriate cases can exercise the power under Section 482

Cr.P.C. for quashing of criminal proceedings/FIR on the basis of compromise. A reference

in this regard may be made to a decision dated 29.09.2021 of the Supreme Court in case

titled "Ramgopal and anr. V. The State of Madhya Pradesh" and a Full Bench decision

of this Court in "Kulwinder Singh and others V. State of Punjab and others".

6. In the premise it is an appropriate case for exercise of power under Section

482 Cr.P.C. and to bring to an end the criminal proceedings initiated in the light of

impugned FIR.

7. Petition is thus allowed. FIR No.106 dated 25.09.2016 (Annexure P-1)

registered under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506, 201 and 34 of IPC at Police Station, Banur,

District Patiala on the basis of compromise dated 28.02.2023 (Annexure P-2), and all

proceedings emanating there from qua the petitioners stand quashed.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(ARUN MONGA) JUDGE

13.10.2023 vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No